

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,579	09/17/2003	Henry Kahle	A-2845-AL	9166	
21378 75	21378 7590 08/17/2006			EXAMINER	
APPLIED MEDICAL RESOUCES CORPORATION 22872 Avenida Empresa Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688			O'CONNOR, CARY E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ç ,			3732		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/666,579	KAHLE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cary E. O'Connor	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply		et with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMM 36(a). In no event, however, m will apply and will expire SIX (6) a cause the application to become	UNICATION. lay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ine 2006					
		action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the matter of							
•,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit		n parto quayro, 1000	0.5. 77, 100 0.0. 270.				
·	Disposition of Claims						
4)[Claim(s) 1-25 and 28-36 is/are pending in the application.						
€/□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-18,25 and 28-36</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>19-24</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected	d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the draw	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received ity documents have b (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		iew Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔯 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 8906,7606	5) 🔲 Notice	No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The amendment filed June 14, 2006 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The amendment to the specification specifies that the seal can accommodate instruments having diameters up to 37 mm or more. This phrase does not meet the description requirement because the phrase "or more" has no upper limit. The specification does not clearly disclose to the skilled artisan that the inventors considered diameters larger than about 37mm to be part of their invention. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-16, 25, 28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to the claims

specifies that the seal can accommodate instruments having diameters up to 37 mm or more. This phrase does not meet the description requirement because the phrase "or more" has no upper limit. The specification does not clearly disclose to the skilled artisan that the inventors considered diameters larger than about 37mm to be part of their invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5 and 36 contain the trademark/trade name Kraton®. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a particular material and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaji (6,033,426). Kaji shows a surgical access device comprising a valve structure 2 disposed relative to the incision in a sealing relationship and extending into communication with the incision, a wound retractor 3, and a protective sleeve 29 extending into communication with the incision. A single seal is included in the valve structure and having a first state forming a zero seal in the absence of an instrument and a second state in the presence of an instrument extending through the valve structure and forming a seal with the instrument (column 7, lines 3-31). As to claim 18, element 6 is considered to be a cap ring.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18, 25 and 28-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cary E. O'Connor whose telephone number is 571-272-4715. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on 571-2724964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cary F. O'Connor Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

ceo